

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/878,107	06/08/2001	Kouji Shirai	P/2041-62	2375
75	90 05/21/2004		EXAM	INER
STEVEN I. WEISBURD DICKSTEIN, SHAPIRO, MORIN & OSHINSKY, LLP			DAO, MINH D	
•	OF THE AMERICAS	mivok i, ELi	. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
41ST FLOOR NEW YORK,	NY 10036-2714		2682	7
•			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/878,107	SHIRAI, KOUJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MINH D DAO	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r oly within the statutory minimum of thin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 h	March 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 3-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.					
	ior					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,	` <i>'</i>	(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in A  Ority documents have been  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2682

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 3-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutchison, iv et al. (US Patent 6,449,476) in view of Hoffman (US Patent 6,622,017).

Regarding claim 3, Hutchison teaches a portable telephone (see fig. 1, item 101; col. 3, lines 26-29) in which software features in the main program of the portable telephone can be corrected, the telephone comprising: a read only memory (see fig. 1, item 116) in which a main program for the portable telephone is stored; a volatile memory (see fig. 1, item RAM 114); and means for copying the software features into the volatile memory to create a backup software to be stored in the read only memory (col. 3, lines 53-67; col. 4, lines 1-22). However, Hutchison fails to teach means for loading a patch into the volatile memory, the patch intended to be substituted for a portion of the main program which portion contains a bug. Hoffman, in an analogous art, teaches a means for loading a patch into the volatile memory, the patch intended to be substituted for a portion of the main program which portion contains a bug (col. 6, lines 60-65).

Art Unit: 2682

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the teaching of Hoffman to Hutchison in order to download a software patch for the existing module as suggested by Hoffman (col. 6, lines 63-65).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman teaches that the portable telephone of claim 3, further comprising means for replacing a portion of the main program which contains the bug with the backup patch (reference Hoffman, col. 6, lines 60-65).

Regarding claims 5 and 10, the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman teaches means for replacing a portion of the main program which contains the bug with the backup patch (reference Hoffman, col. 6, lines 60-65), but the combination fails to teach means for erasing the backup patch after it has replaced the portion of the main program which contained the bug. However, it is commonly known in the art that memory space of a mobile phone often needs to be available for additional storage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to erase or delete the used backup patch software in order to yield more memory for future needs of the mobile phone.

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 6, the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman teaches the portable telephone of claim 3, wherein the main program stored in read only memory is stored in blocks (reference Hutchison, see fig. 2, item 116, col. 5, lines 36-

Page 4

42).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman teaches the portable telephone of claim 6, wherein the main program stored in read only memory, is rewritable in units of a block (reference Hutchison, see fig. 2, item 116, col.

5, lines 36-42).

Regarding claim 8, the claim has the same limitations as that of claim 1, therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 9, the claim has the limitations as that of claim 1, and additionally discloses the limitation "periodically executing at least a portion of the main program" which the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman fails to teach. However, it is obvious in the art that every time the mobile phone is turned on, at least a portion of the software of the unit is executed. Therefore, claim 9 is rejected for the same reason set forth in claim 1 and for the obviousness mentioned above.

Regarding claim 11, the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman teaches the method according to claim 9, wherein the patch is transmitted to the

Art Unit: 2682

portable telephone from a communications network (reference Hutchison, see fig. 1,

items Programmer 122 and Communication Link 133).

Regarding claim 12, the combination of the teachings of Hutchison and Hoffman

teaches the method according to claim 9, wherein the patch is transmitted to the

portable telephone from a personal computer (reference Hutchison, see fig. 1, item 122;

col. 4, lines 27-44).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2682

## **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D DAO whose telephone number is 703-305-5589. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, VIVIAN C CHIN can be reached on 703-308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Dao Examiner Art Unit 2682 May 13, 2004 May

WIVIAN CHIN
BUBERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 6

-1 10 10 Y